

March 10, 2014

The Carroll County Board of Supervisors held their regular monthly meeting on, March 10, 2014 in the Board Meeting Room of the Carroll County Governmental Center.

Present were: David V. Hutchins
W.S. "Sam" Dickson
Dr. Tom Littrell
Joshua A. Hendrick
Phil D. McCraw
Bob Martin
Gary Larrowe, County Administrator
Nikki Cannon, Assistant County Administrator
Jim Cornwell, County Attorney

Mr. Hutchins called the meeting to order at 3:31 p.m. and recessed until 5:00 p.m.

Mr. Hutchins thanked each person for being here and told that we have some exciting news and information to be presented tonight.

(Order)

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Upon motion by Mr. McCraw, seconded by Mr. Hendrick and passing, the Board approved the agenda.

VOTES

Mr. Hendrick	Yes
Mr. McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hutchins	Yes
Mr. Dickson	Yes
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Upon motion by Mr. Dickson, seconded by Dr. Littrell and passing, the Board approved the minutes of the meeting on January 23, 2014 and February 10, 2014 as previously distributed to the members of this Board.

VOTES

Mr. Hendrick	Yes
Mr. McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hutchins	Yes
Mr. Dickson	Yes
Dr. Littrell	Yes

March 10, 2014

Mr. Martin Yes

(Order)

APPROVAL OF PAYROLL

Upon motion by Mr. Dickson, seconded by Dr. Littrell, and passed unanimously, the Board approved the payroll for March 2014 and did authorize the Chairman and Clerk, along with Bonita M. Williams, Treasurer, to sign checks for the April payment of salaries and wages for all County officials and employees as previously budgeted by the State Compensation Board and this Board of Supervisors.

VOTES

Mr. Hendrick Yes
Mr. McCraw Yes
Mr. Hutchins Yes
Mr. Dickson Yes
Dr. Littrell Yes
Mr. Martin Yes

(Order)

CONSENT AGENDA

Upon motion by Mr. Dickson, seconded by Dr. Littrell and passing, the Board approved the Consent Agenda.

VOTES

Mr. Hendrick Yes
Mr. McCraw Yes
Mr. Hutchins Yes
Mr. Dickson Yes
Dr. Littrell Yes
Mr. Martin Yes

(Order)

RURAL RUSTIC ROADS

Mr. Hutchins told that he thinks both of these need to be pulled out and relooked at. He told that for some reason he doesn't remember these being the two roads that they talked about and asked staff to review and bring back next month.

Mr. Hendrick asked if this affects VDOTs time line drastically.

March 10, 2014

Mr. Larrowe told that he sent Dan a note about this and he is out until the 17th so we did not have any kind of response. He told that Dan is the one that supplied these and they are also going to have the Six Year Road Plan Public Hearing next month so he did not take that it was critical. He told that it may very well be but he did not take it that way.

(Order)

HILLSVILLE ELEMENTARY ROAD

Upon motion by Mr. Dickson, seconded by Dr. Littrell and passing, the Board approved a resolution for the addition of Hillsville Elementary School Access Road.

VOTES

Mr. Hendrick	Yes
Mr. McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hutchins	Yes
Mr. Dickson	Yes
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

COUNTY CIP

Mr. Hendrick told that he knows this is preliminary but CIP's usually have more continuity. He told that there are things on there that weren't on there last year and wanted to know if there is a rhyme or reason to it.

Ms. Cannon told for example, the Electoral Board had a plan to replace four voting machines per year then they decided it was easier to have one training and replace them all in one year so in 2015 it is \$80,000 and last year it was \$32,000 over several years. She asked if there is anything in particular he is looking at.

Mr. Hendrick told that IT had very little in last year and it has several in this one. He told that they are not big ticket items and then Sheriff's Office had vehicles for one year last year and now they have it showing every year. He told that he is sure the mindset was they know they are going to replace vehicles but why wasn't it on the CIP. He told that maintenance changed a right smart and they have several items that looks like they are going to spend \$20,000 a year every year.

Ms. Cannon told that one of the requests that she made when she sent out the CIP and keep in mind that for the past three years you have had someone different doing it. She told that she asked them to not only focus on the current year that we are in but a five year plan.

March 10, 2014

Mr. Hendrick told that really that is what it is and it sounds like you are already working on that. He told that he wants the Department Heads to understand that it is a long range mindset. He asked how long we have been doing this.

Ms. Cannon told that she thinks it has been four years.

Mr. Hendrick told that it is still relatively new.

Ms. Cannon told that she does want to make a note that the IT last year, they had a lot of projects that were ongoing so they weren't included in the CIP because they had the funds already appropriated.

Mr. Hendrick told that it is a different mindset.

Upon motion by Mr. McCraw, seconded by Dr. Littrell and passing, the Board approved the County CIP.

VOTES

Mr. Hendrick	Yes
Mr. McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hutchins	Yes
Mr. Dickson	Yes
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

Mr. Hutchins told that they are approving it as a plan and will adjust as they need to.

(Order)

SCHOOL APPROPRIATION

Upon motion by Mr. Dickson, seconded by Dr. Littrell and passing, the Board approved additional funds which have become available in the amount of \$293,105 for the School. No local match is required.

VOTES

Mr. Hendrick	Yes
Mr. McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hutchins	Yes
Mr. Dickson	Yes
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE

Upon motion by Mr. Dickson, seconded by Dr. Littrell and passing, the Board approved to hold a public hearing during the April meeting regarding the Six Year Road Plan.

VOTES

Mr. Hendrick	Yes
Mr. McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hutchins	Yes
Mr. Dickson	Yes
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE

Upon motion by Mr. Dickson, seconded by Dr. Littrell and passing, the Board approved holding a public hearing during the April meeting regarding the FY2015 School Budget.

VOTES

Mr. Hendrick	Yes
Mr. McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hutchins	Yes
Mr. Dickson	Yes
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

PHASE III APPROPRIATION

Upon motion by Mr. Dickson, seconded by Dr. Littrell and passing, the Board approved an appropriation in the amount of \$19,000 for the Phase III Construction and Renovation Project.

VOTES

Mr. Hendrick	Yes
Mr. McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hutchins	Yes
Mr. Dickson	Yes
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

DAY REPORTING ALLOCATION

Upon motion by Mr. Dickson, seconded by Dr. Littrell and passing, the Board approved a transfer in the amount of \$57,088.55 from Court Room Security to Day Reporting.

VOTES

Mr. Hendrick	Yes
Mr. McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hutchins	Yes
Mr. Dickson	Yes
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

COUNTYWIDE MEDICAL SUPPLIES

Upon motion by Mr. Dickson, seconded by Dr. Littrell and passing, the Board approved an additional appropriation in the amount of \$5,000 for Countywide Medical Supplies.

VOTES

Mr. Hendrick	Yes
Mr. McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hutchins	Yes
Mr. Dickson	Yes
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

AMBULANCE TRANSFER

Upon motion by Mr. Dickson, seconded by Dr. Littrell and passing, the Board approved to the transfer of a 2003 4x4 Ford ambulance to Dugspur Rescue.

VOTES

Mr. Hendrick	Yes
Mr. McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hutchins	Yes
Mr. Dickson	Yes
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

TOURISM TRANSFER

Upon motion by Mr. Dickson, seconded by Dr. Littrell and passing, the Board approved a transfer in the amount of \$5000 to Tourism for community events for contribution to the Gospel event.

VOTES

Mr. Hendrick	Yes
Mr. McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hutchins	Yes
Mr. Dickson	Yes
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

NATIONAL SERVICE RECOGNITION DAY

Upon motion by Mr. Dickson, seconded by Dr. Littrell and passing, the Board approved the National Service Recognition Day Resolution below.

WHEREAS, service to others is a hallmark of the American character, and central to how we meet our challenges; and

WHEREAS, the nation's mayors are increasingly turning to national service and volunteerism as a cost-effective strategy to meet city needs; and,

WHEREAS, AmeriCorps and Senior Corps address the most pressing challenges facing our cities and nation, from educating students for the jobs of the 21st century and supporting veterans and military families to preserving the environment and helping communities recover from natural disasters; and

WHEREAS, national service expands economic opportunity by creating more sustainable, resilient communities and providing education, career skills, and leadership abilities for those who serve; and

WHEREAS, national service participants serve in more than 70,000 locations across the country, bolstering the civic, neighborhood, and faith-based organizations that are so vital to our economic and social well-being; and

WHEREAS, national service participants increase the impact of the organizations they serve with, both through their direct service and by recruiting and managing millions of additional volunteers; and

March 10, 2014

WHEREAS, national service represents a unique public-private partnership that invests in community solutions and leverages non-federal resources to strengthen community impact and increase the return on taxpayer dollars; and,

WHEREAS, AmeriCorps members and Senior Corps volunteers demonstrate commitment, dedication, and patriotism by making an intensive commitment to service, a commitment that remains with them in their future endeavors; and

WHEREAS, the Corporation for National and Community Service shares a priority with mayors nationwide to engage citizens, improve lives, and strengthen communities; and is joining with mayors across the country to support the National Service Recognition day on April 1, 2014

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Carroll County Board of Supervisors, do hereby proclaim April 1, 2014, as National Service Recognition Day, and encourage residents to recognize the positive impact of national service in our city, to thank those who serve; and to find ways to give back to their communities.

VOTES

Mr. Hendrick	Yes
Mr. McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hutchins	Yes
Mr. Dickson	Yes
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

LEGAL FEES TRANSFER

Upon motion by Mr. Dickson, seconded by Dr. Littrell and passing, the Board approved an appropriation in the amount of \$25,000 for Legal Services.

VOTES

Mr. Hendrick	Yes
Mr. McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hutchins	Yes
Mr. Dickson	Yes
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

March 10, 2014

SKILLS GAP ANALYSIS

Marty Holliday told that they had planned for a long time to do the skills gap analysis with partners that they knew, Va. Tech. She told that they had some key things they wanted to find with the study after hearing from Economic Development partners and business owners that they could not find the workforce that they needed. She told that they wanted to know what were and what are the drivers in the region both in the whole 13 jurisdictions and in the smaller localities. She told that Whitney and Patrick with Va. Tech did the study and they will give you all of the details. She told that the report is not the end, it is the beginning. She told that as a region we must try to decide what we are going to do with all this information.

Ms. Whitney Bonnom told that it is an honor and privilege to stand before you to share the findings of an exciting study they had in the office. She told that they have learned a lot themselves about the region and she hopes the study is just a start. She reviewed the study and how they came up with the information and told that anyone that would like to receive additional information, she would be glad to come back and meet with you.

Mr. Hutchins told that they have done a great job and these are the kinds of tools that we need to look hard at. He told that they are regularly chastised because we are not out chasing industry and jobs and in reality often times we need to have training and skills. He told that by the time a major industry contacts a county they have looked at it and know more about it than the locality knows and this is the kind of information we were hoping to get. He told that we don't know what to train for if we don't know what we need.

Ms. Holliday told that they are beginning tabletops with the education system and they started down in the Virginia Highlands region because they were first to raise their hand. She told that this will give the colleges a chance to see the report first and see what they have to address.

Ms. Bonnom told that one of the most interesting things is how you take existing jobs in localities and move them to other types of jobs that serve other types of industries. She told that she knows you are doing a lot with the school system and they found examples for LPN's and how that job is similar to lab tech type work. She told that they thought that one was interesting in particular because the region is getting over saturated with LPN's.

(Order)

PHASE III/HVAC UPDATE

Mr. Dennis Cole told that he is pleased to say that they are done and have reached substantial completion and what that means is the HVAC system at the high school is doing its intended purpose and the owner is using it for that. He told that they still have a

March 10, 2014

punch list and they are also finalizing the graphics for the computer controlled HVAC system. He told that they have managed to do the work on the bid amount and have not had any changes in the contract other than the preapproved roofing change which got started today. He told that they are doing it in sections so the roof is not open to the weather.

Mr. Martin asked what sections of roof they will be doing.

Mr. Cole replied the north hallway, a section of the vocational hallway, the band chorus room and the cafeteria kitchen area.

Mr. Martin told that is a substantial part of the roof.

Mr. Cole replied yes. He told that the STEM Lab has progressed quite a bit and they hope to have it complete at the end of May or the first of June.

(Order)

WATER PARK DISCUSSION

Mr. Hutchins told that we had a meeting about the Water Park and today we need to make a decision about the suggested preliminary location and the purpose is to close out the contract that they have with those folks who did the feasibility study. He told that it is not saying that they are approving it or they are doing it or that is where it is going to go but we have to close out the contract.

Upon motion by Mr. Dickson, seconded by Mr. Hendrick and passing, the Board approved to select the site at the Recreation Department which they call the I77 site.

Mr. Dickson told that they do not know what is going to happen to the Woodlawn School building and there is a lot of things that could possibly happen.

Mr. Martin told that he has thought long and hard on it and frankly he came to the same conclusion and originally he thought the ideal place would be Woodlawn. He told that people tell him that you have to have excellent management on something like that and the selling point for him is you have all of those hotels around there and it might be possible by putting it there they could offer in the price the use of the pool. He told that he has done an about face on it but it is a selling point and that 77,000 cars pass by there on the interstate every day and it makes the site more visible and there may be other things done of the Woodlawn property.

VOTES

Mr. Hendrick	Yes
Mr. McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hutchins	Yes
Mr. Dickson	Yes

March 10, 2014

Dr. Littrell Yes
Mr. Martin Yes

(Order)

CCHS LIGHTS

Mr. Hendrick told that he talked with staff today and they were trying to raise \$36,000. He told that they have had a good push and have now raised \$33,000 and they also have an ongoing fundraiser so it looks like they are going to meet their goal. He told that they are selling McDonald's coupons right now.

Mr. Martin asked if we still need to do something.

Mr. Hendrick told that he doesn't believe it is necessary after he talked with staff today.

Mr. McCraw told that he will say that this book for \$6 is a good deal.

Mr. Martin told that it is a three ring circus after school with all of the different teams using those fields.

Mr. Hendrick told that the field is covered when he goes by.

Mr. Martin told that the good thing is you know where the kids are.

(Order)

JOINT PUBLIC HEARING – SUBDIVISION ORDINANCE MODIFICATIONS

Mr. Chambers called the Planning Commission to order.

Mr. Hutchins told that we have been working on the revisions to the Subdivision Ordinance for several months and today we hope to approve at least a piece of it and before we open the joint public hearing he asked Mr. Newman to come up and give us a synopsis in full on what we are doing.

Mr. Newman told that as we have been discussing there are several modifications proposed for the subdivision ordinance. He told that section 27 is street layout and at the end of the first paragraph it will define what is meant to be served by state maintained road and the preliminary plat would show the proposed location of that driveway and also in section 27 they changed the language that would be on a plat for non VDOT roads and that language came from VDOT. He told that in section 29 under lot requirements at the end of the first paragraph it will define what is meant to be served by public water and sewer and that would be if it is available to the property and also that all landowners and home owners would have to comply with the public service authority's connection

March 10, 2014

requirements. He told that next one is section 42 which is exceptions and they will add paragraph d which discusses a way to be able to divide property that is currently in the growth tier where the property owner would like to put the property into a conservation easement or an agriforestral district. He told that it would require that the first 200 feet of the property along the road would be surveyed and would remain in the growth tier and the remaining portion of the property would be put in the agricultural tier and there would be a 50 foot right of way that would go from the state maintained road to that back portion of the property that is going to be put into the agricultural tier and also there will need to be evidence that the property that will now be in the agricultural tier would qualify and be accepted for the conservation easement. He told that next under section 4 under definitions we will change the definition of immediate family member to add aunt uncle niece or nephew to that definition and again back in section 42 under exceptions, section a discusses cemeteries and there would be an additional paragraph added that states that land divided for the purpose of a cemetery where the property has been used as a cemetery prior to January , 2014 shall not be required to follow the provisions of the ordinance provided that a plat show the cemetery boundaries shall be submitted to the subdivision agent for review and approval. He told that this is for all of those issues where it has never been set aside as a separate parcel of property and there is little right of way to it if any and this would help to resolve the issue. He told that section 34 adds compliance with storm water management laws and erosion and sediment laws. He told that section 4 under the definition class b subdivision would remove the gate requirement that it had to be a gated community but it doesn't prohibit them being a gated community. He told that if the developer or home owners association would like for it to be a gated community that is fine. He told that next section is class c subdivision and it involves section 4 which was the definition and section 14 which talked about review and approval and section 27 which talked about the road requirements. He told that in further looking at this, class c as it is written is a standalone classification of subdivision rather than an exception to be used when someone's property wouldn't meet a class a or a class b subdivision and for that reason he makes the recommendation that this part of the proposed modifications be pulled out tonight and be looked at by the Planning Commission and brought back at a later date.

Mr. Hendrick asked on definitions of niece and nephew, that was just added to line up with state code.

Mr. Newman told that the state code allows that but we have never had it in our ordinance. He told that they have had several instances where you have an aunt or uncle and they don't have children and would like to deed a portion of property to their niece using the family provision and right now it is not allowed.

Mr. Hendrick told that it was left up to our option.

Mr. Newman replied yes.

Mr. Hutchins opened the Public Hearing at 6:16 p.m.

March 10, 2014

Mr. Chambers opened the Public Hearing at 6:16 p.m.

Mr. Tom Slusher told that he is a local land surveyor. He thanked the Board and the Planning Commission for the opportunity to comment on the proposed amendments to the subdivision ordinance and thanked the Planning Commission and Mr. Newman for recognizing the need for these modifications. He told that these amendments will allow citizens of Carroll County to better accomplish their desires and wishes while doing so in an orderly and common sense manner. He told that he appreciates the work and these amendments will help to clarify some points of the existing ordinance that had been gray. He told that it also adds some flexibility to some of the other part of the ordinance such as redefining immediate family and also allows the new possibility of development with the class c subdivision. He told that class c will give property owners a chance to subdivide and liquidate the back portions of previously divided road frontage property and some properties that they may not need anymore. He told that with class c it can be done more effectively. He told that he thinks these are positive changes or a step in the right direction for the citizens of Carroll County and he hopes that you adopt these proposals this evening as they have been set before you.

Mr. Todd Wolford told that he only has the one property that is being affected by it now and he doesn't know how that relates to what has been brought up tonight. He told that he has a 3 acre tract that he used to call a flag lot and they are trying to get a 25 or 30 foot entrance into this piece of property to sell it individually, it is not road frontage. He told that he does not know how it lines up with class c but that is his request and he is just trying to get this piece of property sold.

Ms. Janet Tate told that she has not done the prep work that she usually does and in 2008 she gave a 20 minute talk about poor development issues and how it affects our county, how many developed lots we have. She told that you know she has ran for local office and she has done it all because she cares about the mountains here, she loves them and it has only cost her money and aggravation but she cares so much. She told that she has only lost money by anything that she has done, she volunteers through the Fire Department, has picked up trash and she cares about our community a lot and she hopes no one questions that and her reasons for doing what she does. She told that she is feeling a little unprepared which she doesn't like to do but she kept putting off doing this because she had a death in the family. She told that she wants to make it clear that she is speaking against the idea of creating another subdivision standard which she considers that class c to be. She told that she is for responsible development that enhances our community and helps our tax base. She told that in 2008, a lot of things have not changed from then and some of her information on private roads is 9 years old and then she went to VDOT and we had 884 private roads and to compare another county they have only 170 private roads. She told that these roads cause a lot of problems in the past and they will in the future she is sure and they cost tax money. She told that anytime she thinks about folks paying taxes and she knows it is easy to lose sight but if you think about a woman that is widowed that is trying to get a cheaper house and pay her taxes on social security she should not pay any extra taxes for poor development. She told that she has a relative that is a developer and she knows how much money he made and he

March 10, 2014

certainly paid his fair share and still came away with a lot of money. She told that 25 feet is not enough to maintain a road, ditches and shoulder and her supervisor Mr. Hendrick was a VDOT employee and she thinks he is a PE she was going to ask him but the same subdivision ordinance requires that 30 feet for a right of way for a cemetery and consistencies like these are glaring. She told that she is not speaking for other fire department members and she does not go out on calls but she gives a lot of time as the Treasurer and it is very frustrating to realize that as decisions are made with the ordinances that seem so uncaring. She told that after a quick evaluation with the class c she sees where it can't be incorporated with the class b and she does not see any specifications for the cul-de-sac and she knows that you are not deciding on that tonight and she also understands some of what was presented with the class c was because Dinwiddie County had done something like this and she talked with Dr. Mark Bassett today and she didn't have much time. She told that he is Director of Planning and was nice enough to talk to her, they have zoning, they have not allowed for private roads since 1999, they have about 28,000 residents and their tax rate is in the seventies. She told that our tax rate is pretty high up there. She told that he mentioned problems with public safety and they have actually had to carry folks to rescue vehicles over a distance because they couldn't get rescue vehicles in. She told that she didn't ask him to explain but he said that some folks found that they were not able to subdivide because they were on a private road. She told that another outcome that she didn't get to talk to him about is where you are land locked and road maintenance issues. She told that the private roads that we anticipate.

Mr. Hutchins told Ms. Tate that her three minutes are up.

Mr. Martin asked if he could ask for an extension. He told that he is sorry that her mother passed away recently and she has better things to do.

Mr. Hendrick told that if we don't have a long list he doesn't see a problem with it.

Mr. Hutchins replied so we give everybody an extension.

Ms. Tate told that she can do the citizen's time.

Mr. Dickson told her to roll it up quick.

Ms. Tate told that it doesn't take much imagination that some of these steep roads you could just slide off the mountain and the cost to repair is prohibitively expensive. She has talked to folks who live in a recreational subdivision and they mentioned the above problems and also said that it is interesting when they do have a homeowners association they are having problems with it and the summer time folks don't want to pay for a road.

Mr. Martin asked what she would recommend.

Ms. Tate told that most localities if she looked around and they don't allow any more private roads. She told that we already have so many that are causing problems. She told

March 10, 2014

that if the state puts the burden on the locality like they talked about then the people in the recreational subdivision will want their roads fixed. She told that it doesn't help our tax base and poor development cost the taxpayers. She told that on these private roads there is no mail service, no school bus pickup. She told that when they first moved here and were trying to buy a house they showed us houses that were on these private roads and it was amazing how few houses they could show us. She told that they wanted a house on a state maintained road and there are so many problems with being on a private road.

Mr. Lowell Bowman told that he has been here before stressing how important it is to change the ordinance to support some commercial work. He told that the biggest challenge is in the planning and design and the ordinance doesn't match with VDOT's regulations as far as a commercial entrance. He told that the county's ordinance requires each parcel to have a certain amount of road frontage and when you are in a commercialized area VDOT only allows an entrance every 500-700 feet so it is really not practical in a commercial setting for each parcel to have its own entrance. He told that he is asking if we can bring the ordinance to say that any development be in line or use the same standards set forth by VDOT regulations. He told if there is some way to allow developers to divide property in accordance with VDOT regulations that would really help them.

Mr. Todd Wolford told that basically he would say the same thing that he just said.

Mr. Junior Vass told that he wasn't planning on saying anything and was just wanting to see what was going on but when he listened to this lady sounds like don't want any more private roads and he would just like to say that all the roads all through the county were at one time private and he thinks people who own property should have a way to get use out of their property. He asked if you are going to have another meeting on the Class C. He told that he would like for you to take a look at it because people have property that is essentially worthless because you can't get to it.

Mr. Hutchins closed the Public Hearing at 6:35 p.m.

Mr. Chambers closed the Public Hearing at 6:35 p.m.

Mr. Newman told that the recommendation from the Planning Commission would be to approve all proposed modifications with the exception of those involving class c subdivision.

Upon motion by Dr. Littrell, seconded by Mr. McCraw and passing, the Board accepted the recommendation of the Planning Commission.

Mr. Cornwell asked for clarification is the motion to amend the current subdivision ordinance with all of the proposed changes except the changes related to class c subdivision.

March 10, 2014

Dr. Littrell replied that is correct.

Mr. Hendrick told that he has a question about the email. He asked if the Planning Commission has seen this.

Mr. Newman replied yes that he has provided them a copy.

Mr. Hendrick asked what his thoughts are on it.

Mr. Newman told that the first objection is to the cost of surveying and the person who is wanting to put their property into a conservation easement is going to be receiving tax benefits for doing so and at the same time the county needs to protect investment that is in water, sewer, good roads, etc. that the first 200 foot would be served by. He told that this was looked upon as the happy medium to both preserve the public investment and to allow the landowner the opportunity to divide the bulk of their property off and put it into an agricultural tier.

Mr. Hendrick told that we can still get done what we need to do with what we have got. He told that he has never thought about some of the stuff and as long as everybody is good he is good.

VOTES

Mr. Hendrick	Yes
Mr. McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hutchins	Yes
Mr. Dickson	Yes
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

Mr. Cornwell told that for clarification again he believes the motion as far as class c subdivisions were concerned is to refer that back to the Planning Commission for further report to the Board.

Mr. Hutchins replied yes. He told that he thinks there is a brief discussion about flag lots which you despise.

Mr. Newman told that the Planning Commission has been looking at flag lots for quite some time and there has been some of the information on what he just passed out that has been presented to you before. He told that tonight what is brought to you has several modifications and he read those out. He told that these would be definitions that would go in section 4.

Flag Lots – A tract or parcel of property connected to the state maintained road by a narrow strip of property known as the flag pole. The flag pole is to be a part of the flag lot.

March 10, 2014

The minimum building setback line shall be measured from the point where the flag pole meets the flag lot.

The maximum number of flag lots shall be three or for the division of tracts or parcels of greater than ten acres being subdivided into then or more tracts or parcels, the maximum number of flag lots shall not exceed 30% of the total number of lots created.

All flag lots shall be a minimum of 1.5 acres in size.

Any further divisions of flag lots shall comply with all appropriate provisions of this ordinance.

Flag Pole – A narrow strip of property connecting a flag lot to the state maintained road.

The minimum width of a flag pole shall be twenty feet.

The maximum length of a flag pole shall be 1000 feet.

The maximum number of contiguous flag pole shall be two.

Mr. Hutchins told that this is information that you are going to review and work with Mr. Cornwell on.

Mr. Hendrick asked him to find contiguous.

Mr. Newman replied, adjoining or side by side.

Mr. Hendrick asked if this would allow for two flag lots to be the only parcels in a subdivision.

Mr. Newman replied yes. He told that the thinking with limiting it to two was if there was three or more most likely they are going to share a common driveway and if there is more than two sharing a common driveway it is going to require a VDOT commercial entrance.

Mr. Hendrick told that this would set a minimum acreage of 1 ½ regardless of what class subdivision it is and whether or not it has utilities.

Mr. Newman replied yes. He told that if you notice in the definition of a flag lot, the flag pole is going to a state maintained road so it is not going to be allowed in a b or c.

Mr. Hutchins asked that he take that back and would it be the Planning Commissions intent to come back at our next meeting with whatever revisions we would make to the class c as well as flag pole and have those out in ample time for public hearing then.

Mr. Chambers replied yes.

March 10, 2014

Mr. Hutchins told that we would plan for a joint public hearing at our next meeting in April.

(Order)

CITIZEN'S TIME

No one was signed up to speak.

SUPERVISOR'S TIME

Mr. Hendrick told that he is glad to see folks out and getting interested in what is going on.

Mr. Martin told that he has a concern that he has had for a long time and it is that six times per day at Carroll County High School, 8:30, 10:00, 11:40, 1:15, 1:45 and 3:15 there are 300 to 400 kids are wide open going from field house to the main building or the other way. He told that Carroll County has nine schools and this is more kids out in the open than six schools out of the nine have kids. He told that there is only three schools in the county that have 300 or more kids and it has been a concern for a long time. He told that he apologizes for putting people on the spot and he treats everybody the same sadly tonight. He moves that the Carroll County Administrator begin negotiations with Rural Development agency to acquire long term financing for the construction of an auxiliary gym complex adjacent to Carroll County High School with the construction to begin as soon as legalities are met.

Mr. Hutchins asked if that is the same motion that you made previously.

Mr. Martin replied yes but it sounds a little better and it he wrote this one on the back of an envelope and he has marked out a whole bunch of stuff. He told that there is a real need for that and at this time he declares that he has an interest in this thing because he is a Public School employee but it don't take a genius to figure out that we have a bad situation with all of them kids out between the two schools. He told that he is not even going into weather and he is certainly not going into the need for this auxiliary gym and complex connecting. He told that from a safety standpoint it is not okay and they need to be in an enclosed hallway type situation where they are not wide open to the main road. He told that is his motion.

Mr. Hutchins told that he believes this is the same motion that he already made and it was defeated. He told that something in our procedures says that unless you are on the yea side of the motion you don't bring it back up. He told that if the Board wishes to entertain it they certainly can.

Mr. Hendrick told that he is trying to remember the motion.

March 10, 2014

Mr. Martin told that he doesn't think anybody can repeat the motion he made the first time because it was a rambling kind of thing.

Mr. Hendrick asked if the first motion include funding.

Mr. Hutchins replied yes.

Mr. Martin told that the mistake he made on the motion is that he should have specified a shorter time frame than 1000 years. He told that this thing has drug on and on and he is sorry he sees a need. He told that if he stepped on your toes he is sorry for that but he is not sorry for bringing it up. He told that it is a legitimate need.

Mr. Hutchins told that he will accept the motion, and asked if there is a second.

Mr. Hendrick told that personally he is in tune with the need and he still thinks it's early but to say outright yes this is what we are going to do and he knows we have had a presentation from the School Board about it with a budget of \$3.6 million. He told that he hasn't had a chance to review some of the budget numbers that Nikki has sent out and asked if anyone else had showing what Carroll looks like compared to other counties.

Mr. Larowe told that he thinks the number after reviewing and adjusting it is \$1803. He told that included the \$5.9 million that we just incurred with School debt for the HVAC/STEM. He told that added about \$200 to the total so we were just behind Patrick County which was the leading county based on the 2012 yellow book.

Mr. Hendrick replied so we are pretty high.

Mr. Dickson told that we are the second highest.

Mr. Hendrick told that is the gist that he got is we are on the high side. He told that we don't have an accurate picture of what another impact would do.

Mr. McCraw told that we probably won't until this budget cycle is over and you are part of the committee so you will be in the midst of it. He told that he thinks that would be a better time to.

Mr. Hendrick told that he would like to make an amendment to Bob's motion if permissible rather than seeking and securing funding to check the funding options to be included in the budget cycle as the next step. He told that way we can get an accurate picture of what it is going to look like.

Dr. Littrell asked if the original motion was seconded.

Mr. Hendrick replied no.

Dr. Littrell told that you can't amend it.

March 10, 2014

Mr. Martin told that he asked his wife once if she would marry him and she had three choices and he was hoping for one but prepared for two others and this thing is just dragging on and on and he is not satisfied with chasing tails. He told that he has heard some say yes, no or maybe and he would like some idea on a time frame.

Mr. Hutchins told that we haven't got the motion seconded yet so we are not at a discussion stage so he would ask one more time, is there a second. He told that since there is no second the motion dies.

Mr. Hendrick told that he would like to make a motion that we have Gary go ahead and check funding rates and what it would look like to do what the school proposed and we include that in our budget cycle and look at how it is going to impact us. He told that he would like to see the numbers a little better. He told that he doesn't think that anyone will argue that it is a need but if it is a need that we can pay for.

Mr. Martin seconded the motion and told that honey tell me yes or no, he needs to know something.

Mr. Cornwell told that for clarification Mr. Martin made a disclaimer but only made it partially and he assumes that the second is being done feeling like you can decide the issue without any concern in the public interest without reference to the position that you hold.

Mr. Martin replied yes that it doesn't put a feather under his cap under any circumstance.

Mr. Hutchins told that his concern is tying it to the budget cycle because there is a lot of activity going on from several fronts and he would personally be opposed to tying it to the budget cycle. He told that he is not opposed to them looking at it but considering that our finance director is out and several things. He told that he is opposed to voting to support it with tying it to the budget.

Mr. Hendrick told that however you want to word it as long as we look at it and can see the budget impact. He told sooner rather than later but he does understand that Nikki is under the gun right now.

Mr. Martin asked if he is amending the motion.

Mr. Hendrick told that he amends it to not tie it to the budget cycle but to see budget implications.

Mr. Martin asked if he is supposed to amend his second.

Mr. Larowe told that he just needs to approve the amendment.

March 10, 2014

Mr. Martin told that he approves the amendment and he still has a declared interest but he can fairly vote on it.

VOTES

Mr. Hendrick	Yes
Mr. McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hutchins	Yes
Mr. Dickson	Yes
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

Mr. Dickson declared that he does have an interest since he works part time at the school as a bus driver and he sees the need very much every day and he sympathizes with Bob because he is right in the middle of it and he is the one directing them to get out of the way so they don't get run over. He told that is just one example of the dangers that they have. He told that in reality it really hasn't been that long they are just now finishing up the HVAC.

Mr. Martin told that you are right and this Board is to be commended for bringing the HVAC system up to snuff. He told that you all do not realize what a plus that has been.

Mr. Dickson told that he can understand where you are there every day it seems like forever.

Mr. Dickson told that he appreciates the Planning Commission working on this and if we ever deliver this baby it will be a pretty one.

Dr. Littrell told that it was good to see so many citizens come out and express their opinions at our public hearing and he thinks that is always good government and he applauds all who came. He told that the Twin County Airport Commission invites all to the Terminal Building Ceremony on March 27th to see our brand spanking new building. He told that it is at 2:00 and there will be light refreshments.

Mr. McCraw thanked everyone for coming out tonight and he appreciates you coming. He told Mr. Vass that he liked what he said about private roads and he still lives on one and is not ashamed of it.

Mr. Hutchins told that in 2007, 2008 that he was a supporter of having roads that allowed for the passage of rescue and fire but at the same time we had parcels of land back in the mountains that wanted to develop them and at that time we had a recreational subdivision ordinance but it was lax. He told that we have some subdivisions within the county that are extremely hazardous. He told that as we look at whether it would be a class b or a flag lot we need to keep in mind the fact that we have to have egress for fire and rescue vehicles. He told that he also knows that we have numerous roads that serve people who have lived there for years and years and they have done fine with it and maybe they want to allow a relative or someone to have a piece of that. He told that he is

March 10, 2014

not sure what the technical answer is nor the legal answer but he thinks there is a common sense answer somewhere and he believes that is what we all want to do. He told that there is an old saying and he is not sure what the auctioneer says because he says it really fast but there is a thing that says buyer beware and he thinks we need to think about that. He told one other disclaimer that he should have made earlier as should probably most of us up here as we approve the cemetery issues probably each one of us have family and relatives that are buried in some of those cemeteries that either are today affected or will be affected. He told that the small country church membership is dwindling and the facility itself is going down and he applauds them for trying to find ways to place the cemetery into a trust. He told that some are fully populated and some are probably over populated but we should have something that allows us to be able to use those that are existing. He applauds the Planning Commission for coming up with what they did and yes his parents are in one of those but he would say several of us have family that are. He told that he appreciates each one being here.

(Order)

ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion by Mr. Hendrick, seconded by Mr. Dickson and passing, the Board adjourned.

VOTES

Mr. Hendrick	Yes
Mr. McCraw	Yes
Mr. Hutchins	Yes
Mr. Dickson	Yes
Dr. Littrell	Yes
Mr. Martin	Yes

(Order)

Chairman

Clerk

March 10, 2014